April 19, 2005

Honorable Richard W. Pombo Chairman Committee on Resources U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Based on a preliminary review of the Domestic Energy Security Act, as approved by the Committee on Resources on April 13, 2005, CBO estimates that enacting this legislation would reduce direct spending by \$2.2 billion over the 2006-2010 period and by \$2.1 billion over the 2006-2015 period. The estimated direct spending effects are summarized below. (The bill would not affect federal revenues.) A table with additional details is attached.

	By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars											
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
Estimated Budget Authority	57	59	-1,940	61	-431	46	39	41	39	-38		
Estimated Outlays	57	59	-1,940	61	-431	46	39	41	39	-38		

Major provisions of the legislation with direct spending costs include:

- Implementing an oil and gas leasing program in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge,
- Providing royalty relief and similar incentives for producers of geothermal resources, coal, and onshore and offshore oil and natural gas, and
- Requiring the Secretary of the Interior to grant royalty credits to onshore oil, natural gas, and geothermal lessees, operators, or operating-rights owners who voluntarily incur costs to complete analyses required under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Honorable Richard W. Pombo Page 2

Implementing this legislation also would affect spending subject to appropriation action, but CBO has not completed an estimate of the potential discretionary costs.

This bill contains no intergovernmental mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act. Several provisions in this bill would affect amounts received by the federal government for mineral leases on federal lands, and these receipts generally are shared with the states, so these provisions also would affect the states' share of receipts. CBO estimates that these changes would result in a large increase in federal mineral receipts paid to the state of Alaska, but a net reduction in payments to other states. The bill also would authorize payments to some coastal states from royalty receipts for leasing on the Outer Continental Shelf, but these payments would be subject to appropriation action until fiscal year 2016.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contacts are Deborah Reis, Kathleen Gramp, Lisa Cash Driskill, and Megan Carroll.

Sincerely,

Douglas Holtz-Eakin Director

Attachment

cc: Honorable Nick J. Rahall II Ranking Democrat Attachment April 19, 2005

ESTIMATED BUDGETARY IMPACT OF THE DOMESTIC ENERGY SECURITY ACT ON DIRECT SPENDING

	By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
СНА	NGES 1	N DIRE	ECT SP	ENDING	G					
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
10	15	20	25	25	20	7	-5	-10	-10	
10	15	20	25	25	20	7	-5	-10	-10	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	18	18	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	18	18	
25	25	20	20	25	10	10	10	10	10	
25	25	20	20	25	10	10	10	10	10	
15	13	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
15	13	12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4	3	5	4	6	4	10	7	9	6	
4	3	5	4	6	4	10	7	9	6	
54	56	57	59	66	44	37	39	37	34	
54	56	57	59	66	44	37	39	37	34	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
	CHA 2 2 2 10 10 0 0 25 25 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 16 4 1	CHANGES I 2 2 2 2 2 2 10 15 10 15 10 0 0 0 0 0 25 25 25 25 25 25 15 13 15 13 15 13 15 13 15 13 15 13 15 13	CHANGES IN DIRE 2	CHANGES IN DIRECT SPI 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 10 15 20 25 10 15 20 25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 25 20 20 25 25 20 20 15 13 12 10 15 13 12 10 4 3 5 4 4 3 5 4 4 3 5 4 1 1 1 1 1	CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING 2	CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING 2	CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	CHANGES IN DIRECT SPENDING 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	

Continued

Continued

	By Fiscal Year, in Millions of Dollars										
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
	СНА	NGES	IN DIRI	ECT SP	ENDING	G					
Title VII - Oil and Gas Leasing in Arctic National Wildlife Refuge Bonuses, Rents, and Royalties											
Estimated Budget Authority	0	0	-4,000	-2	-1,000	-2	-2	-2	-2	-150	
Estimated Outlays	0	0	-4,000	-2	-1,000	-2	-2	-2	-2	-150	
Payments to Alaska from Arctic National Wildlife Refuge Receipts											
Estimated Budget Authority	0	0	2,000	1	500	1	1	1	1	75	
Estimated Outlays	0	0	2,000	1	500	1	1	1	1	75	
Subtotal, Title VII											
Estimated Budget Authority	0	0	-2,000	-1	-500	-1	-1	-1	-1	-75	
Estimated Outlays	0	0	-2,000	-1	-500	-1	-1	-1	-1	-75	
Total											
Estimated Budget Authority	57	59	-1,940	61	-431	46	39	41	39	-38	
Estimated Outlays	57	59	-1,940	61	-431	46	39	41	39	-38	

Note: NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act.